IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 7330 OF 2003

Mogalu Kasi Rao .....Petitioner

Versus

Maharashtra Council of Indian Medicine and Ors. ... Respondents.

Mr. P.B. Shaligram for the petitioner.
Mr. R.A. Rodrigues for respondent No.1.
Mr. V.P. Malvankar, Assistant Government Pleader, for respondent No. 2.

CORAM: **KSHITIJ R. VYAS, C.J.** &
DR. D.Y. CHANDRACHUD, J.

DATE: **MARCH 20, 2006**.

P.C.

The petitioner who passed the examination of Vydya Vidwan examination of Andhra Ayurveda Parishat Vijayawada wants registration as a Medical Practitioner which has been denied by respondent No.1. The petitioner has filed the present petition challenging the said decision of respondent No.1.

2. In the affidavit in reply filed by Registrar, Maharashtra Council of Indian Medicine, respondent No.2, it has been pointed out that the petitioner was orally asked many times to bring the copy of the

application along with the acknowledgment to enable the respondent-Council to consider the request of the petitioner for registration as per the provisions of Maharashtra Medical Practitioners Act, 1961. In fact, the said respondent has insisted that the petitioner file a fresh application with a view to expedite the registration. In view of this, learned counsel for the petitioner has conceded that the petitioner would file a fresh application seeking registration within two weeks from today. Learned counsel for respondent No.1 has stated that as and when the application is received, the same shall be considered within a period of four weeks thereafter. In view of this, this petition is disposed of at this stage.

CHIEF JUSTICE

DR. D.Y. CHANDRACHUD, J.